# PID Tuning for Temperature Control of Heated Plates Using the Waterbed Effect

Dick de Roover, Abbas Emami-Naeini, Jon L. Ebert,

SC Solutions, Inc. 1261 Oakmead Pkwy Sunnyvale, CA 94085 Email: <u>roover@scsolutions.com</u>

> APC Conference XXVII 2015 Austin, TX Oct. 12-14, 2015



# **Overview**

# **The Waterbed Effect Theory**

- **Using the Theory for PID Tuning**
- Application to a Heated Plate
- **Summary & Conclusions**

# **The Waterbed Effect**

- There is a fundamental and inescapable limitation on achievable design specifications in feedback control systems
- The sensitivity function, |S(s)| is the transfer function from the reference input r (Set-point) to the tracking error e
- The overall transfer function gain is less sensitive to variations in the process gain by a factor of |S|

$$E(s) = \left(I + L(s)\right)^{-1} R(s) = S(s)R(s)$$



Unity feedback control system

- **D** Bode showed that for a system with an excess of at least two more poles than zeros and no right-half plane (RHP) poles,  $\int_0^\infty ln(|S|)d\omega = 0$
- This means that if the sensitivity is to be reduced in a certain frequency range, then of it must be increased in another frequency range. This is referred to as the waterbed effect.
- $\Box$  If the system has  $n_p$  unstable poles then,  $\int_0^\infty ln(|S|)d\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} Re\{p_i\}$
- Recently [Emami-Naeini, D. de Roover, 2015] we have derived a new fundamental result that has been seemingly masked by previous derivations :

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \ln|s(j\omega)|d\omega = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tilde{p}_{i} - p_{i}) \right), OLS \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tilde{p}_{i} - p_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2p_{i} \right), OLU \end{cases}$$

The fundamental relationship is that the sum of areas underneath the ln(|S|) is related to the difference in speeds of the closed-loop system and the open-loop system. This makes much intuitive sense.

#### **4** Copyright© 2015, SC Solutions, Inc. All Rights Reserved

# **The Waterbed Effect: Conservation of Sensitivity Dirt**



# The Waterbed Effect can be viewed as a "sensitivity dirt," conservation law for control systems.

*Gunter Stein, "Respect the Unstable", IEEE Control Systems Magazine, Aug. 2003.* 



# **The Waterbed Effect: Examples**

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{(s+1)}$$

$$L(s) = \frac{2}{(s+1)^2}$$





# **Overview**

- **The Waterbed Effect Theory**
- **Using the Theory for PID Tuning**
- Application to a Heated Plate
- **Summary & Conclusions**

## **PID Control Structure**



G. F. Franklin, J. D. Powell, and A. Emami-Naeini, *Feedback Control of Dynamic Systems*, 7th ed. Prentice-Hall, 2015.

## **PID Tuning Procedure**

Assume the plant can be approximated by:

$$P(s) = \frac{K}{(\tau_1 s + 1)(\tau_2 s + 1)}$$

Assume the desired closed-loop transfer function is given by:

$$T_{des}(s) = \frac{\omega_{des}^2}{\left(s^2 + 2\beta\omega_{des}s + \omega_{des}^2\right)}$$

Then the following selection of PID tuning parameters will yield the desired closed loop:

$$K_p = \frac{(2\beta\omega_{des}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - 1)}{4K\beta^2}, \quad K_i = \frac{\omega_{des}}{2K\beta}$$

$$K_d = \frac{(2\beta\omega_{des}\tau_1 - 1)(2\beta\omega_{des}\tau_2 - 1)}{8K\beta^3\omega_{des}}, \qquad \tau_d = \frac{1}{2\beta\omega_{des}}$$

Only 2 tuning knobs:  $\beta$  and  $\omega_{des}$ ! (desired closed-loop damping and bandwidth)

#### **9** Copyright© 2015, SC Solutions, Inc. All Rights Reserved

# **Sensitivity Function Tuning**

Varying the tuning parameters and inspecting the Waterbed Effect allows for efficient tuning of the desired closed-loop









# **Example Noise Signal**



# **Overview**

- **The Waterbed Effect Theory**
- **Using the Theory for PID Tuning**
- Application to a Heated Plate
- **Summary & Conclusions**

- Temperature control of heated plates is important in many thermal processing systems (RTP, Etch, Bake, MOCVD, etc.)
- The dynamic response of the system can change considerably depending on operating temperature, wafer types, and/or process conditions
- □ Ideally one would like to get the exact same closed-loop temperature response (*performance*) despite these system variations (*robustness*)
- In this example we will look how changes in system parameters affect the closed-loop dynamics in terms of the waterbed effect

- A tungsten-halogen lamp is shown heating a plate from below
- The plate radiates, conducts, and convects heat to the walls and surroundings
- The system can be divided into a number of control volumes and the heat equation can be written for the net rate of temperature change:

$$\dot{T} = f(T, u),$$



Dynamic System of Equations

Sensed Temperature

For each control volume, *i* 

$$m_i(T)\dot{T}_i = Q_i^r(T) + Q_i^c(T) + Q_i^v(T) + b_i u,$$
Thermal mass Badiation Conduction Convection Electrical Power In



The heat loss from the plate to the surroundings

$$q_s = \epsilon \sigma (T_s^4 - T_\infty^4) + h(T_s - T_\infty),$$

Effective emissivity Effective heat transfer coefficient



We will look at control performance when these two parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and h) vary.

#### Copyright© 2015, SC Solutions, Inc. All Rights Reserved

# **Process Variations and Robust Control**

- Plate emissivity can change in ways that are difficult to predict
- **Changes in gas flows or gas chemistry can change the heat losses**
- **Changes can be "wafer-to-wafer" or during processing (dynamic)**
- □ If you knew how the losses changed, you could tune the controller for a specific process condition
- But often you cannot know about changes so the controller must be robust
- Robustness here is defined as good performance for a wide range of process conditions



## **Process Variations and Closed-Loop Control**

Consider the following closed-loop system, where P denotes the heated plate, and C denotes the PID feedback controller



Heat loss from plate to surroundings:

$$\begin{aligned} q_s &= \epsilon \sigma (T_s^4 - T_\infty^4) + h (T_s - T_\infty), \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{Effective} & \text{Effective heat} \\ \text{emissivity} & \text{transfer coefficient} \end{aligned}$$

# **Bode Plot of Nominal Plant**

 Nominal plant is obtained by linearizing non-linear thermal system at operating point e<sub>0</sub> = 0.6, h<sub>0</sub> = 50, and T<sub>0</sub> = 600C







# **Bode Plots for Varying System Conditions**



# **Control Design for Nominal Plant**

**Using the presented PID tuning procedure, a controller was obtained** based on the 2<sup>nd</sup> order plant parameters from the previous slide, and tuning parameters  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\omega_{des} = 0.1$  [rad/s]

### **Bode Plot of PID Controller**

**Corresponding Sensitivity Function** 





# **Sensitivity Functions for Varying Process Conditions**



# **Waterbed Parameters for Varying Process Conditions**

Critical 'waterbed' characteristics of a nominal set of PID parameters for a range of plate properties and operating conditions of a heated plate.



- Summary of 3000 simulations where three critical waterbed parameters were calculated for variations in emissivity and heat transfer coefficient over the entire operating range from 250°C to 1150°C
- □ As the target temperature increases, the area for disturbance rejection and corresponding cross-over frequency increases, but so does the area for noise amplification

# **Overview**

- **The Waterbed Effect Theory**
- **Using the Theory for PID Tuning**
- Application to a Heated Plate
- **Summary & Conclusions**

**PID** controllers are ubiquitous in semiconductor process control

- □ We proposed a new method of tuning PID controllers using the fundamental waterbed effect from control systems theory
- We applied the new methodology to heating of a plate used in semiconductor process control
- Use of the waterbed effect allows for efficient tuning of PID controllers